DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6288

ISSN: 2320 – 7051 *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **6 (2): 1259-1264 (2018)**





Research Article

Influence of Biostimulants on Growth and Physiology of Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* TZVELEV.) var. Kolar Local under Protected Cultivation

Pruthvi P. Hegde^{*}, M. Shivaprasad, M. Ganapathi, and S. Y. Chandrashekar

University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Mudigere *Corresponding Author E-mail: pru.p.horti@gmail.com Received: 24.02.2018 | Revised: 30.03.2018 | Accepted: 5.04.2018

ABSTRACT

Studies on efficacy of biostimulants on growth and physiology of chrysanthemum under protected cultivation were carried out at department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Horticulture, Mudigere during 2015-16. 12 biostimulants in 2 combinations were taken for the study and RDF was taken as control. The results revealed that among the biostimulant treatments, the treatment receiving Biovita (Brown sea weed extract) @ 0.5 per cent showed maximum plant height, stem girth, leaf area, leaf area index, leaf area duration, Relative growth rate, Crop growth rate, Net Assimilation rate compared to all other treatments and control (RDF).

Key words: Biostimulant, Chrysanthemum, Biovita, Sea weed extract

INTRODUCTION

Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) is one of the most interesting and oldest flower crops which belong to the family, Asteraceae, one of the largest families of flowering plants and ranks next to rose and carnation in demand and popularity in the world. The diploid chromosome number is 2n = 36. There are very few such garden flowers, which provide diverse and beautiful variations in colour, shape, size and configuration. All these make the chrysanthemum flower suitable for various purposes like bedding plant, vase decorations, garland making and for garden display. At present, wide spread requirement

for environment friendly agriculture for the production of quality flowers is in high demand. Efforts are underway for the sustainable way of crop production with organic fertilizers and biostimulants from natural resources to enhance the production of commercially important flower crops. The use of biostimulants, which has the capacity to beneficially modify plant growth, has grown dramatically over the past decade. Bio stimulants are the materials other than the fertilizers that promote the plant growth when applied in minute quantities and are also referred as 'metabolic enhancers'.

Cite this article: Hegde, P.P., Shivaprasad, M., Ganapathi, M. and Chandrashekar, S.Y., Influence of Biostimulants on Growth and Physiology of Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* TZVELEV.) var. Kolar Local under Protected Cultivation, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **6**(2): 1259-1264 (2018). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6288

Hegde et al

They promote the plant growth besides improving yield and quality. Therefore, the present investigation was carried out to know the efficacy of biostimulants on growth and physiology of chrysanthemum under protected condition.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

An experiment was undertaken under naturally ventilated polyhouse condition during 2015-16 Horticulture, Mudigere, at College of Chikkamagaluru, Karnataka. The soil was prepared to fine tilth and raised beds of 3m X 1m were prepared under polyhouse condition. Rooted terminal cuttings of chrysanthemum Cv. Kolar Local (vellow) were planted at a spacing of 30 cm X 30 cm. The experiment was laid out inRandomized complete block with 25 treatments and design two replications. Treatments included T1 -Control, T2 - Humigrow (Humic acid) @ 0.3% , T3 – Humigrow @ 0.5% , T4 – Fulvic acid @ 0.3%, T5 - Fulvic acid @ 0.5%, T6 -Panchagavya @ 0.3%, T7 - Panchagavya @ 0.5%, T8 – Jeevamruta @ 0.3%, T9 – Jeevamruta @ 0.5%, T10 - Amruta Sanjeevini (lipoprotein + Humic acid)@ 0.3%, T11 -Amruta sanjeevini @ 0.5%, T12 - Zoom flower (Nitro benzene) @ 0.3 % ,T13 - Zoom flower @ 0.5%, T14 - Biovita (Sea Weed Extract) @ 0.3 %, T15 - Biovita @ 0.5%, T16 - Spicmex (Amino acid + Humic acid) @ 0.3%, T17 - Spicmex @ 0.5%, T18 -Neozyme @ 0.3%, T19 – Neozyme (Sea Weed Extract+ Amino Acid)@ 0.5%, T20 - Swara (Amino Acid, Nicotinic acid, Vit B1,B6,B7) @ 0.3%, T21- Swara @ 0.5%, T22 - Humicel plus (Humic Acid + Fulvic Acid+ Sea Weed Extract) @ 0.3%, T23 - Humicel plus @ 0.5%, T24 - Formula 15 (Humic Acid + Fulvic Acid + Amino acid) @ 0.3%, T25 -Formula 15 @ 0.5%. These biostimulants were sprayed on the foliage at 3 intervals *i.e* @ 60, 90 and 120 days after planting (DAP). Growth parameters like plant height and stem girth and physiological parameters were recorded and thev were statistically analysed.The physiological parameters are as follows:

a. Leaf area

The leaf area was computed by using leaf area meter and expressed in square centimeters.

b. Leaf area index (LAI)

Leaf area index is the leaf area occupied per unit land area. It was computed using the formula suggested by Sestak *et al*⁶.

LAI = A/P

Where, A = Leaf area

P = Ground area covered by plant or spacing provided

c. Leaf area duration (LAD)

Leaf area duration is the integration of Leaf area index over a period of time or a growing season expressed in days.

Li + (L(i+1))

Leaf area duration = ----- x (t2 - t1)

Where, Li = Leaf area index at first stage

L(i+1) = Leaf area index at second stage

t2 - t1 = Time interval between ith and (i+1)th stage (day)

d. Crop growth rate (CGR)

Crop growth rate is the rate of dry matter production per unit ground area per unit time⁹. It was calculated using the formula,

(W2 - W1) 1 CGR = ----- x ---- mg/m2/ day (t2 - t1) A

Where,

W1 = Dry weight of the plant at time t1

W2 = Dry weight of the plant at time t2

A = Land area (Spacing)

e. Relative growth rate (RGR)

Relative growth rate is the measure of the ability of the plant to produce newer plant materials and it is also called

Efficiency Index. It was calculated as,

(logeW2 - logeW1)

$$(t2 - t1)$$

Where,

W1 = Dry weight of the plant at time t1

W2 = Dry weight of the plant at time t2

f. Net assimilation rate (NAR)

Net assimilation rate is the rate of dry matter production per unit leaf area per unit time. It was calculated by the formula outlined by Gregory²,

Copyright © Jan.-Feb., 2018; IJPAB

ISSN: 2320 – 7051

Hegde *et al*

(W2 - W1) (LogeL2 - LogeL1) NAR = ----- x ----- mg/ dm2/ day

(t2 - t1) (L2 - L1)

Where L1 and W1 = Leaf area (m2) and dry weight of the plant (g) respectively at time t1 L2 and W2 = Leaf area (m2) and dry weight of the plant (g) respectively at time t2

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data pertaining to growth, physiological parameters, biochemical parameter and disease incidence are presented in Table 1-4. All the parameters varied significantly by the foliar application of biostimulants. Among the different biostimulants studied Biovita @ 0.5 per cent (extract of Ascophyllum nodosum- a brown sea weed) recorded maximum plant height (66.83 cm), number of leaves (82.95) and stem diameter (7.30 mm) which was statistically on par with Humicel plus @ 0.5 per cent (64.68 cm, 80.55 and 7.13mm, respectively) and Formula 15 (63.88 cm, 78.90 and 7.07 mm, respectively). The results are supported by the findings of Dhutraj *et al.*¹, in al.⁸, and Violeta et gaillardia in chrysanthemum.

Dry matter production is a function of two parameters the leaf area or quantum of the photosynthetic system and its activity. Among different treatments Biovita @ 0.5 per cent registered maximum Total dry matter accumulation per plant (53.45 g) which was statistically on par with Humicel plus @ 0.5 per cent and Formula 15 @ 0.5 per cent. While, minimum was recorded by control. An increase in total dry matter accumulation in Biovita sprayed plants might be due to the increased nutrient uptake by the sea weed extract sprayed plants and also due to the presence of macro and micro nutrients and also growth promoting substances which leads to vigorous growth of roots, shoots, leaves and flowers. This is in conformity with the report that stronger root system and efficient absorption of nutrients have been implicated to increase the food reserves in plant resulting in higher dry matter production and accumulation in different plant parts⁴.

Regarding the leaf area per plant, Biovita applied @ 0.5 per cent registered maximum (5269.91 cm²) and was followed by Humicel plus @ 0.5 per cent and Formula 15 @ 0.5 per cent. This is because; the foliar application of sea weed extracts might have enhanced the cytokinin level and thereby cause manifold increase in cell division resulting in enhanced leaf area. It is also due to improved nutrient absorption capacity and increased the photosynthetic activity of the plants. These are in line with the results of research work done by Dhutiraj *et al.*¹, in gaillardia and Khan *et* $al.^3$.

The magnitude of photosynthetic ability of the crop is more meaningfully interpreted in terms of leaf area index and leaf area duration. LAI alone is not important but its persistence also governs the productivity which is represented by LAD. Both the parameters were found to be maximum in foliar application of Biovita @ 0.5 per cent (5.27 and 123.08 days, respectively). It might be attributed to the presence of macro and micronutrients and some growth promoting substances in the seaweed extracts which in turn increased photosynthates and growth that could be responsible for the increased leaf area and ultimately leaf area index and duration. These parameters are directly responsible for metabolites required for plant growth and development. The findings are in accordance with the results obtained by Russo et al.⁵, in marigold. The crop growth rate (CGR) gives an estimate of productivity of a crop stand per unit land area and the relative growth rate (RGR) is the growth of the plant per day. Among the different biostimulant treatments, plants receiving foliar spray of Biovita @ 0.5 per cent had greater growth rate per unit land area as well as per day (107.00 mg/ m^2 / day and 13.65 g/g/day, respectively). The photosynthetic efficiency is measured by net assimilation rate (NAR) which is considered to express a plant's capacity to produce dry weight in terms of its assimilatory surface area. The above growth parameter was also same in the treatment *i.e* Biovita @ 0.5 per

Hegde et al

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6 (2): 1259-1264 (2018)

cent (9.4 mg/ dm^2 / day). The increased CGR, RGR and NAR may be due to the fact that, sea weed extract mainly contains amino acids like betaines and sterols which enhance the photosynthetic activity, N metabolism and protein synthesis, and also growth regulators especially Auxin and Cytokinin which are responsible for internodal elongation and cell enlargement and there by increases the growth Khan *et al*³.

Table 1: Influence of biostimulants on plant height, stem girth and Total dry matter accumulation
(TDMA) in chrysanthemum var. Kolar Local under protected cultivation

	Generation	Plant	Stem girth	Total dry matter
Treatment	Concentration	height	(mm)	accumulation
T ₁ - Control (RDF)	100:150:100 Kg/ha	48.02	4 77	(g/ plant) 36.05
T_1 - Humigrow	0.3	56.58	6.27	44.35
T ₃ - Humigrow	0.5	60.03	6.61	48.05
T_4 - Fulvic acid	0.3	55.33	6.20	42.50
T ₅ - Fulvic acid	0.5	59.68	6.43	46.95
T ₆ - Panchagavya	0.3	49.95	5.24	36.60
T ₇ - Panchagavya	0.5	52.17	5.49	40.10
T ₈ - Jeevamruta	0.3	52.39	5.30	38.50
T ₉ - Jeevamruta	0.5	55.55	5.50	42.65
T ₁₀ - Amruta sanjeevini	0.3	50.94	5.35	37.60
T ₁₁ - Amruta sanjeevini	0.5	53.24	5.55	40.48
T ₁₂ - Zoom flower	0.3	52.89	5.50	40.35
T ₁₃ - Zoom flower	0.5	54.57	5.90	43.95
T ₁₄ - Biovita	0.3	61.51	6.78	48.00
T ₁₅ - Biovita	0.5	66.83	7.30	54.37
T ₁₆ - Spicmex	0.3	50.38	5.11	37.42
T ₁₇ - Spicmex	0.5	52.88	5.32	41.25
T ₁₈ - Neozyme	0.3	54.56	5.83	40.65
T ₁₉ _ Neozyme	0.5	58.69	6.10	43.65
T ₂₀ - Swara	0.3	55.00	6.17	42.95
T ₂₁ - Swara	0.5	59.05	6.35	44.45
T ₂₂ - Humicel plus	0.3	60.94	6.74	45.90
T ₂₃ - Humicel plus	0.5	64.68	7.13	52.70
T ₂₄ - Formula15	0.3	60.75	6.65	45.85
T ₂₅ - Formula 15	0.5	63.88	7.07	50.95
S E	m ±	1.55	0.13	1.39
CD	(5%)	4.52	0.39	4.05

Note*: RDF is common for all the treatment

Hegde *et al*

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6 (2): 1259-1264 (2018)

Table 2: Influence of biostimulants on Leaf area, Leaf area index and Leaf area duration in
chrysanthemum var. Kolar Local under protected cultivation

Treatment	Concentration	Leaf area (cm ²)	Leaf area index (LAI)	Leaf area duration (days)
T Control (DDE)	(%)	2471.04	2.74	56 19
I ₁ - Control (RDF)	100:150:100 Kg/na	2471.04	2.74	50.48
T ₂ - Humigrow	0.3	3503.36	3.89	82.43
T ₃ - Humigrow	0.5	4077.78	4.53	97.35
T_4 - Fulvic acid	0.3	3162.63	3.51	74.25
T ₅ - Fulvic acid	0.5	3914.29	4.35	92.55
T ₆ - Panchagavya	0.3	2627.87	2.92	60.68
T ₇ - Panchagavya	0.5	2968.11	3.29	69.00
T ₈ - Jeevamruta	0.3	2726.67	3.03	65.10
T ₉ - Jeevamruta	0.5	2752.01	3.05	63.68
T ₁₀ - Amruta sanjeevini	0.3	3057.17	3.39	74.03
T ₁₁ - Amruta sanjeevini	0.5	3083.01	3.42	73.20
T ₁₂ - Zoom flower	0.3	2887.10	3.20	67.88
T ₁₃ - Zoom flower	0.5	3244.66	3.61	77.93
T ₁₄ - Biovita	0.3	4460.65	4.95	106.13
T ₁₅ - Biovita	0.5	5269.91	5.27	123.08
T ₁₆ - Spicmex	0.3	2698.20	2.97	62.70
T ₁₇ - Spicmex	0.5	3123.42	3.47	73.20
T ₁₈ - Neozyme	0.3	3191.00	3.54	74.25
T ₁₉ Neozyme	0.5	3519.50	3.91	82.58
T ₂₀ - Swara	0.3	3279.78	3.64	76.48
T ₂₁ - Swara	0.5	3695.29	4.10	87.68
T ₂₂ - Humicel plus	0.3	4251.05	4.72	97.65
T ₂₃ - Humicel plus	0.5	5143.99	5.17	122.00
T ₂₄ - Formula15	0.3	4188.85	4.65	95.85
T ₂₅ - Formula 15	0.5	5029.64	5.13	114.02
S En	1±	134.10	0.14	3.10
CD (5	%)	399.49	0.42	9.04

Note*: RDF is common for all the treatment

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 6 (2): 1259-1264 (2018)

 Table 3: Influence of biostimulants on Crop Growth Rate, Relative Growth Rate, Net Assimilation Rate in chrysanthemum var. Kolar Local under protected cultivation

Treatment	Concentration	CGR	RGR	NAR
	(%)	$(mg/m^2/day)$	(mg/ g/ day)	$(mg/dm^2/day)$
T ₁ - Control (RDF)	-	71.00	10.50	6.00
T ₂ - Humigrow	0.3	89.50	11.85	8.30
T ₃ - Humigrow	0.5	97.00	12.25	8.80
T_4 - Fulvic acid	0.3	85.50	11.80	7.80
T ₅ - Fulvic acid	0.5	95.00	12.20	8.35
T ₆ - Panchagavya	0.3	71.50	11.10	7.60
T ₇ - Panchagavya	0.5	82.50	11.25	7.95
T ₈ - Jeevamruta	0.3	79.00	11.45	7.80
T ₉ - Jeevamruta	0.5	88.00	11.80	8.35
T ₁₀ - Amruta sanjeevini	0.3	74.50	11.30	7.60
T ₁₁ - Amruta sanjeevini	0.5	81.00	11.65	8.10
T ₁₂ - Zoom flower	0.3	79.00	11.50	8.10
T ₁₃ - Zoom flower	0.5	83.50	11.90	8.20
T ₁₄ - Biovita	0.3	96.50	12.30	8.70
T ₁₅ - Biovita	0.5	107.00	13.65	9.40
T ₁₆ - Spicmex	0.3	73.50	11.40	6.65
T ₁₇ - Spicmex	0.5	81.00	11.60	7.68
T ₁₈ - Neozyme	0.3	80.20	11.60	7.65
T ₁₉ _ Neozyme	0.5	84.00	11.90	7.80
T ₂₀ - Swara	0.3	83.50	11.80	8.40
T ₂₁ - Swara	0.5	89.00	12.05	8.55
T ₂₂ - Humicel plus	0.3	87.00	12.15	8.90
T ₂₃ - Humicel plus	0.5	100.50	12.55	9.3
T ₂₄ - Formula15	0.3	86.00	11.90	8.30
T_{25} - Formula 15	0.5	99.00	12.45	8.70
S Em ±		4.06	0.40	0.39
CD (5%))	11.86	1.16	1.13

|--|

REFERENCES

- 1. Dhutraj, S. V., Effect of various bioenzymes on growth, flower yield and vase life of Gaillardia. *M.Sc. (Agri) Thesis, MAU, Parbhani* (2003).
- Gregory, F. G., The effect of climatic condition on growth of barley. *Ann. Bot.*, 40: 1-26 (1926).
- Khan, W., Rayirath, U. P., Subramanian, S., Jithesh, M. N., Rayorath, P. and Hodges, D. M., Seaweed extracts as biostimulants of plant growth and development. J. Plant Growth Regul., 28: 386-399 (2009).
- 4. Neil, Mattson, Sea weed extract increase growth and post harvest drought tolerance of bedding plants. *Cornell University* (2015).
- 5. Russo, R., Poincelot, R. and Berlyn, P., Use of commercial organic biostimulant

for improved production of marigold cultivars. *J. Home and Consumer Hort.*, **1(1):** 83-93 (1994).

- Sestak, Z., Catasky, J. and Jarvis, P. G., Plant photosynthetic production manual of methods. Ed. JUNK, N. V. Publ. The Hogue, pp: 343-381 (1971).
- Shaof, J. W. and Lium, B. W., Improved extraction of chlorophyll a and b from algae using dimethyl sulfoxide Limnol. *Oceanogr*, 21: 926-927 (1976).
- Violeta, T., Lucia, D. and Irina, S., The influence of some fertilizers and biostimulants upon the stem anatomy of *Chrysanthemum indicum* L. *J. Plant Dev.* 17(1): 3-12 (2010).
- Watson, D. J., The physiological basis of variation in yield. *Adv. Agron.*, 4: 101-145 (1952).